



Sh Nitin Kumar,
290, Basti Baba Khel,
Jalandhar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Medical Superintendent,
E.S.I Hospital, Jalandhar.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Secretary, Health Department,
Health and Family Welfare,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2039 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Nitin Kumar as the Appellant
Ms.Amandeep Kaur, Sr.Assistant for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 07.12.2020 has sought information on 13 points regarding details of medical bills received during 2018 & 2019 along with a copy of receipt register – date of sending it to the concerned officer for processing – payments released against these bills in 2018 & 2019 and pending bills – reasons for pendency and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the Medical Superintendent, ESI Hospital, Jalandhar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 15.01.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Jalandhar. The respondent present pleaded that since some of the sought information was voluminous, the appellant was asked to vide letter dated 11.01.2021 to inspect the record and get the relevant information.

The appellant is agreeable to inspect the record and does not want to pursue the case further.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Surinder Kumar, S/o Sh Piara Lal,
H No-50, Lawrence Road, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o DCP,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Police Commissioner,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2134 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Prem Singh ASI and Sh.Jaswant Singh-SI/Ciber Cell for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 30.01.2021 has sought information regarding complaint UID No.1914663 dated 05.11.2020 for lodging an FIR transferred to Cyber cell for enquiry – a copy of statement recorded by enquiry officer – copies of documents collected from the bank and others – copies of the enquiry report prepared by cyber cell and police commissioner – final action taken report and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of DCP Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 09.03.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. The respondent present pleaded that since the inquiry is pending with Incharge Cyber Crime Branch, Amritsar, the information cannot be provided under section 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act and the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 24.02.2021.

The appellant is absent nor is represented.

It is recommended that the inquiry is completed at the earliest, and the RTI is reconciled post the inquiry.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Ramesh Kumar, S/o Lt Sh Amar Nath,
H NO-4-1652, Sardar Nagar, Ajnala Road,
Police Station S.J.S Avenue, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o IGP, Border Range,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o IGP, Border Range,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2040 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Ramesh Kumar as the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 31.10.2020 has sought information regarding photocopy/memo initiated by the range officer to conduct an Inquiry in terms of section 173(8) of CrP 1973 in deference with concerned trial court – a copy of reply sent as requested vide para 7 of the application number CRM/62/2828 dated 17.09.2020 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of IGP Border Range, Amritsar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 19.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent is absent nor is represented.

The Commission has received a letter dated 15.09.2021 from the office of IGP, Border Range, Amritsar, vide which the IGP has sent a copy of the notice of the Commission to the SSP Amritsar(Rural) with the instructions to pursue the case and attend the hearing of the Commission on 22.11.2021. However, none is present from the office of SSP Amritsar(Rural) also.

The PIO is directed to provide whatever information exists in the record relating to the RTI application. If no such information exists in the record, it should be given in writing on an affidavit.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission

CC to SSP Amritsar(Rural)



Sh. Ramesh Kumar, S/o Late Sh. Amar Nath,
H NO-4-1652, Sardar Nagar, Ajnala Road,
Police Station S.J.S Avenue, Amritsar.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP, Rural,
Amritsar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SSP, Rural,
Amritsar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2041 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Ramesh Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.Harpal Singh, ASI for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 02.11.2020 has sought information regarding Case No.83/18 PS Raja Sansi – a copy of the signature of Baldev Krishan – name and address of complainant relating to an inquiry conducted by SP(Local) Sh.Baljit Singh – a copy of enquiry report as per letter No.13240/NHRC dated 06.08.2020 relating to complaint No.UID 1828437 – copies of letters sent by SSP Rural to ADGP(NRI)Mohali and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SSP, Amritsar(Rural). The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.11.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Amritsar. As per the appellant, the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent has brought the reply and handed it over to the appellant.

The appellant is not satisfied and claims that the PIO has not provided the inquiry report.

As per the respondent, no further information is available in the record.

Hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to give in writing on an affidavit that whatever information has been provided is true, complete and no other information is available in the record. The affidavit should be on a stamp paper duly attested.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Raj Singh, S/o Lt Sh Ishar Singh,
Village Todarwal, P.O Babarpur Police Station,
Bhadson, Teshil Nabha, Distt Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o CMO,
Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health & Family welfare,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2419 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Raj Singh as the Appellant
Mrs.Amarjit Kaur from PHC Chanarthal Kalan for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 20.10.2020 has sought information regarding details of the building fund, maintenance fund, medicine fund from 01.01.2014 to 20.10.2020 relating to all PHC & Civil Hospitals – details of expenditures along with copy of bills – details of funds received – competent authority to use funds and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of CMO Fatehgarh Sahib. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 21.12.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The appellant claims that the PIO has not provided the information.

The respondent present pleaded that since the information relates to different Blocks (PHC Chanarthal Kalan, Nandpur & Sangole) under CMO Fatehgarh Sahib, the RTI application was transferred to all concerned vide letter dated 09.02.2021 under section 6(3) of the RTI Act with the direction to send information to this office within five days. However, since the fee raised by PHC Chanarthal Kalan on 08.03.2021 and PHC Sangole on 01.06.2021 was not deposited by the appellant, the information was not provided. As per the respondent, the letter issued by PHC Sangole was received back undelivered.

The appellant claims that the fee has not been raised within the time as prescribed under the RTI Act and also no letter regarding was received by him.

The Commission has received a copy of a letter dated 10.09.2021 from the PIO-Civil Surgeon Fatehgarh Sahib vide which the PIO has transferred the RTI application to SMO/PHCs Bassi Pathana, Chanarthal Kalan, Khamano, Amloh, Nandpur, Mandi Gobindgarh.

From the above, it is clear that the RTI has not been transferred within the prescribed time as well as the fee has not been raised by the concerned PHC/Block within the time prescribed under the RTI Act.

Given the above, the PIO is directed to provide information to the appellant free of cost.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Smt Bhinder Kaur, W/o Sh Raj Singh,
Village Todarwal, P.O Babarpur Police Station,
Bhadson, Teshil Nabha, Distt Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO, Civil Hospital,
Nabha, Distt Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o CMO,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2685 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Raj Singh for the Appellant
Dr.Pardeep Arora for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 21.06.2021 has sought information regarding CCTV footage of the applicant and police dated 01.06.2021 & 02.06.2021 from 10 AM to 02.00 PM in the civil hospital Nabha during emergency admission and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SMO Civil Hospital Nabha. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.06.2021 which took no decision on the appeal. The appellant had filed an appeal under Life & liberty, however, the Hon'ble CIC has ordered to consider the case under the general category.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent present informed that since the CCTV footage backup remains only for four days whereas the appellant has sought information vide RTI application dated 21.06.2021 for the period from 01.06.2021 & 02.06.2021, the recording is not available and the information cannot be provided. The reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 22.06.2021.

The Commission has also received a reply from the PIO on 11.10.2021 which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The PIO is directed to give the same reply to the appellant on an affidavit. The affidavit be provided within ten days of the receipt of the order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh Raj Singh, S/o Lt Sh Ishar Singh,
Village Todarwal, P.O Babarpur Police Station,
Bhadson, Teshil Nabha, Distt Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO, Civil Hospital,
Nabha, Distt Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health & Family welfare,
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2688 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Raj Singh as the Appellant
Dr.Pardeep Arora for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through an RTI application dated 21.06.2021 has sought information regarding CCTV footage of the applicant and police dated 01.06.2021 & 02.06.2021 from 10 AM to 02.00 PM in the civil hospital Nabha during emergency admission and other information as enumerated in the RTI application concerning the office of SMO Civil Hospital Nabha. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 23.06.2021 which took no decision on the appeal. The appellant had filed an appeal under Life & liberty, however, the Hon'ble CIC has ordered to consider the case under the general category.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Patiala. The appellant claims that the PIO has not supplied the information.

The respondent present informed that since the CCTV footage backup remains only for four days whereas the appellant has sought information vide RTI application dated 21.06.2021 for the period from 01.06.2021 & 02.06.2021, the recording is not available and the information cannot be provided. The reply has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 22.06.2021.

The Commission has also received a reply from the PIO on 11.10.2021 which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The PIO is directed to give the same reply to the appellant on an affidavit. The affidavit be provided within ten days of the receipt of the order.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.11.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission